

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/614,033	07/08/2003	Hirohito Watanabe	239929US3	6638
22850	590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KANG, JU	LIANA K
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

√			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,033	WATANABE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliana K. Kang	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. OFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>6/23/05 (RCE</i>).		
· _ ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 12</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.		
_ , ,		Application No	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in A		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the	he priority documents have beer		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,033

Art Unit: 2874

The request filed on June 23, 2005 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freychet et al (U.S. Patent 5,119,464) and further in view of James, II (US 2003/0169179 A1).
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 12, Freychet et al disclose an optical fiber cable comprising: optical fiber cores (1); a protective layer (see column 1 lines 7-12) over the optical fiber core; a plurality of distinctive layers disposed intermittently in a longitudinal direction of the optical fiber core between the optical fiber core and the protective jacket (see column 1 lines 7-12), each distinctive layer comprising fine drops of ink and taking a substantial linear shape wherein a ratio of a total of the distinctive layers to a length of the distinctive optical fiber appears to be less than 1:5 (see Fig. 2). Please note that Freychet et al teach that the spraying of ink is preferably perpendicular to the direction of forward movement of the fibers (see column 1 line 45-50), this inherently provides the distinctive layer of substantial linear shape. However, Freychet et al do not teach that the protective layer is a colored layer. James, II teaches a protective layer in an optical fiber wherein the protective layer may be color coded or otherwise differentiated, labeled or marked with appropriate usage or material of

Application/Control Number: 10/614,033

Art Unit: 2874

construction notations, or provided with some other product indicia known in the art (see [0037]). Having distinctive colored optical fiber cable would make the user easier to recognize one optical cable from another. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use color protective layer in Freychet et al as taught by James, II to make the optical fiber cable easier to use.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Freychet et al teach that an interval between the distinctive layers can be varied (see column 2 lines 29-32). Thus, having any intervals including the claimed range of 1mm to 200mm in Freychet et al would have been obvious as long as the fibers can be identified. Also having any length of the distinctive layers including the ones that falls in the range of 1 mm to 15 mm would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art as long as the fibers can be identified.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Freychet et al teach the distinctive layers that are formed by ink and Freychet et al and James, II teach the colored layer, however Freychet et al and James, II do not teach the specific thickness of the distinctive layers, a thickness of the colored layer and a size of the ink drops. It appears that the dimensions of the elements depend on the types of ink head and the speed of the fibers in Freychet et al. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use such dimensions, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,033

Art Unit: 2874

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6 and 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakamura et al (US 2003/0044136 A1) teach an optical fiber having a protective layer with thickness of $10\mu m$.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliana K. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2348. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. & Thur. 10:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rod Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JULIANA KANG PRIMARY EXAMINER